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## Key indicators

Single-crystal X-ray study
$T=100 \mathrm{~K}$
Mean $\sigma(\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C})=0.002 \AA$
$R$ factor $=0.046$
$w R$ factor $=0.115$
Data-to-parameter ratio $=15.3$

For details of how these key indicators were automatically derived from the article, see http://journals.iucr.org/e.
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# Ethyl 1-acetyl-3-amino-1H-pyrazole-4-carboxylate, a tetragonal structure with $Z^{\prime}=4$ 

The title compound, $\mathrm{C}_{8} \mathrm{H}_{11} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{3}$, crystallizes with $Z^{\prime}=4$. One pyrazole N atom is substituted and excluded from intermolecular contacts. The amine N , acetyl O and an ester O atom are involved in the formation of nearly planar molecular layers. The layers are perpendicular to the $c$ axis, with an interlayer distance of $3.333 \AA$. The hydrogen-bonding patterns are similar for each molecule, i.e. intramolecular $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{H} \cdots \mathrm{O}$, as well as intermolecular $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{H} \cdots \mathrm{O}$ and $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H} \cdots \mathrm{N}$ (pyrazole), bonds are present.

## Comment

For crystal engineering, the most interesting cases of molecular association are those with cyclic patterns linking high numbers of molecules. Some mono-, di- and tri-substituted NH-pyrazole derivatives crystallize forming cyclic patterns of -N1-H.NN2-N1-H..N2- hydrogen bonds between pyrazole rings [Cambridge Structural Database (CSD), Version 5.27; Allen, 2002]. Depending on the type, size and position of substituents, the primary motifs (Etter et al., 1990) are $R_{2}^{2}(6)$ dimers, $R_{3}^{3}(9)$ trimers, $R_{4}^{4}(12)$ tetramers or even $R_{6}^{6}(18)$ hexamers (Haghiri et al., 2002; CSD refcode HUMLUW). The CSD refcodes for selected crystal structures with dimeric clusters are: ALACEV, ATOWAH, CAMFUS, CIQHIT, FIWTIP, GISZIR, HEHTUJ, IXAQUT, LADBEX, MAFWAS, OBIZAA, VEHCOA, WILBAU, WILBEY, YEYQOI and YULNUO; with trimeric clusters: FITQAA, RIKNOO, DASXEA, HEHVAR, LETCES, PAMTAY, WIKZUL, LABHEB, TIWKIT, UHENUQ, VILPEL, GOQXIT and HOQHUQ; and with tetrameric clusters: QAMQEA, QOFWUD, MEPHPY, PAHKIT, QOFWOX, RUPSAW, SAKQAX, HUMLUW01, DIRKOE, ESUJOR and QOFXAK. In these crystal structures, the molecular clusters adopt crystallographic symmetry (e.g. $\overline{1}, \overline{3}$ and $\overline{4}$ ), or they are built of symmetry-independent molecules ( $Z^{\prime}$ in the range 2-6).

(I)

A search of the CSD for crystal structures of N1-substituted pyrazole (with simple substituents) containing two or more symmetry-independent molecules revealed only a few exam-
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The structure of one of the four independent molecules of (I), with the atom-numbering scheme. Displacement ellipsoids are drawn at the $50 \%$ probability level. The open line indicates the intramolecular $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{H} \cdots \mathrm{O}$ hydrogen bond.


Figure 2
Part of the crystal structure of (I), showing the layer formed via intermolecular $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{H} \cdots \mathrm{O}$ and $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H} \cdots \mathrm{N}$ hydrogen bonds (dashed lines).
ples (e.g. refcodes BOLQEY, INEPIA, RBZICB and TEQZAQ). Analysis of the intermolecular contacts shows that only $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H} \cdots \mathrm{N} / \mathrm{O} / \pi$ interactions and stacking are present. We have now synthesized the new title N1-pyrazole derivative, 1-acetyl-3-amino-4-carbethoxy- $1 H$-pyrazole, (I), the molecules of which are able to form stronger hydrogen bonds of the $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{H} \cdots \mathrm{O}$ type, and present its structure here.

The asymmetric unit of the tetragonal crystal structure of (I) contains four independent molecules, (I $A$ )-(ID), which can be considered as rotated about a pseudo-fourfold axis (located between methyl groups; Fig. 1). The crystal structure is built of molecular layers, perpendicular to the [001] direction, the separation distance being $3.333 \AA$, i.e. $\frac{1}{4}$ of the $c$ parameter. All molecules are nearly planar; their bond lengths are equal to


Figure 3
A fit of the pyrazole rings of the four symmetry-independent molecules of (I). The distance between atoms $\mathrm{C} 8 A$ and $\mathrm{C} 8 B$ is $1.227 \AA$. The $\mathrm{C} 6-\mathrm{O} 7-$ $\mathrm{C} 7-\mathrm{C} 8$ torsion angles are -169.9 (1) and 166.2 (3) ${ }^{\circ}$ for (IA) and (IB), respectively.
within $2 \sigma$ (Table 1) and they have similar patterns of intra- and intermolecular $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{H} \cdots \mathrm{O}$ and $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H} \cdots \mathrm{N}$ hydrogen bonds (Fig. 2 and Table 2). The donor $\cdots$ acceptor distances of the weak $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H} \cdots \mathrm{N}$ bonds range from 3.406 (2) to 3.475 (2) Å.

Molecules (I $A$ )-(ID) adopt slightly different conformations of the terminal methyl and ethyl groups (Fig. 3). The transformation of the molecular layers by the $4_{3}$ screw-axis causes a different environment for each symmetry-independent molecule (Fig. 4). To date, only four crystal structures with the space group $P 4_{1}$ or $P 4_{3}$ and $Z^{\prime}=4$ have been reported (CSD refcodes BEXTEE, CPSCOA, ZEPKUA and XOQQOJ).

## Experimental

Acetic anhydride ( 7.5 mmol ) was added to a suspension of 3-amino-4-carbethoxy- 2 H -pyrazole ( 5 mmol ) and dimethylaminopyridine ( 1 mmol ), and after 1 h the white crystalline precipitate which formed was filtered off and washed with dimethylformamide to give (I). Single crystals for X-ray analysis were obtained by recrystallization from methanol.

Crystal data
$\mathrm{C}_{8} \mathrm{H}_{11} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{3}$
$M_{r}=197.20$
Tetragonal, $P 4_{3}$
$a=16.887$ (6) A
$c=13.332(5) \AA$
$V=3802(2) \AA^{3}$
$Z=16$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& D_{x}=1.378 \mathrm{Mg} \mathrm{~m}^{-3} \\
& \text { Mo } K \alpha \text { radiation } \\
& \mu=0.11 \mathrm{~mm}^{-1} \\
& T=100(2) \mathrm{K} \\
& \text { Prism, colourless } \\
& 0.63 \times 0.52 \times 0.35 \mathrm{~mm}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Data collection

Kuma KM4 CCD area-detector diffractometer
$\omega$ scans
Absorption correction: analytical
(CrysAlis RED; Oxford
Diffraction, 2001)
$T_{\text {min }}=0.929, T_{\text {max }}=0.963$
37699 measured reflections
7874 independent reflections
6125 reflections with $I>2 \sigma(I)$
$R_{\text {int }}=0.034$
$\theta_{\text {max }}=37.5^{\circ}$

## Refinement

Refinement on $F^{2}$
$R\left[F^{2}>2 \sigma\left(F^{2}\right)\right]=0.046$
$w R\left(F^{2}\right)=0.115$
$S=1.03$
7874 reflections
513 parameters

> H -atom parameters constrained
> $w=1 /\left[\sigma^{2}\left(F_{\mathrm{o}}^{2}\right)+(0.071 P)^{2}\right]$
> where $P=\left(F_{\mathrm{o}}{ }^{2}+2 F_{\mathrm{c}}{ }^{2}\right) / 3$
> $(\Delta / \sigma)_{\max }<0.001$
> $\Delta \rho_{\text {max }}=0.48 \mathrm{e}^{\AA^{-3}}$
> $\Delta \rho_{\min }=-0.36 \mathrm{e}^{-3}$

Table 1
Selected bond lengths $(\AA)$.

| $\mathrm{N} 1 A-\mathrm{C} 5 A$ | $1.353(2)$ | $\mathrm{N} 1 C-\mathrm{C} 5 C$ | $1.361(2)$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{N} 1 A-\mathrm{N} 2 A$ | $1.391(2)$ | $\mathrm{N} 1 C-\mathrm{N} 2 C$ | $1.392(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{N} 2 A-\mathrm{C} 3 A$ | $1.333(2)$ | $\mathrm{N} 2 C-\mathrm{C} 3 C$ | $1.332(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C} 3 A-\mathrm{C} 4 A$ | $1.441(2)$ | $\mathrm{C} 3 C-\mathrm{C} 4 C$ | $1.440(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C} 4 A-\mathrm{C} 5 A$ | $1.377(2)$ | $\mathrm{C} 4 C-\mathrm{C} 5 C$ | $1.373(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{N} 1 B-\mathrm{C} 5 B$ | $1.363(2)$ | $\mathrm{N} 1 D-\mathrm{C} 5 D$ | $1.356(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{N} 1 B-\mathrm{N} 2 B$ | $1.390(2)$ | $\mathrm{N} 1 D-\mathrm{N} 2 D$ | $1.394(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{N} 2 B-\mathrm{C} 3 B$ | $1.335(2)$ | $\mathrm{N} 2 D-\mathrm{C} 3 D$ | $1.333(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C} 3 B-\mathrm{C} 4 B$ | $1.446(2)$ | $\mathrm{C} 3 D-\mathrm{C} 4 D$ | $1.442(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C} 4 B-\mathrm{C} 5 B$ | $1.365(2)$ | $\mathrm{C} 4 D-\mathrm{C} 5 D$ | $1.369(2)$ |

Table 2
Hydrogen-bond geometry ( $\AA,{ }^{\circ}$ ).

| $D-\mathrm{H} \cdots A$ | $D-\mathrm{H}$ | $\mathrm{H} \cdots A$ | $D \cdots A$ | $D-\mathrm{H} \cdots A$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{~N} 3 A-\mathrm{H} 3 A 2 \cdots \mathrm{O} 6 A$ | 0.88 | 2.43 | $3.003(2)$ | 124 |
| $\mathrm{~N} 3 A-\mathrm{H} 3 A 2 \cdots \mathrm{O} 6 C^{\mathrm{i}}$ | 0.88 | 2.26 | $2.948(2)$ | 135 |
| $\mathrm{~N} 3 A-\mathrm{H} 3 A 1 \cdots \mathrm{O} 1 D^{\mathrm{i}}$ | 0.88 | 2.14 | $3.015(2)$ | 173 |
| $\mathrm{~N} 3 B-\mathrm{H} 3 B 2 \cdots \mathrm{O} 6 B$ | 0.88 | 2.40 | $2.977(2)$ | 124 |
| $\mathrm{~N} 3 B-\mathrm{H} 3 B 2 \cdots \mathrm{O} 6 D^{\text {ii }}$ | 0.88 | 2.25 | $2.941(2)$ | 136 |
| $\mathrm{~N} 3 B-\mathrm{H} 3 B 1 \cdots \mathrm{O} 1 A^{\text {ii }}$ | 0.88 | 2.18 | $3.050(2)$ | 171 |
| $\mathrm{~N} 3 C-\mathrm{H} 3 C 2 \cdots \mathrm{O} 6 C$ | 0.88 | 2.41 | $2.984(2)$ | 123 |
| $\mathrm{~N} 3 C-\mathrm{H} 3 C 2 \cdots \mathrm{O} 6 A^{\text {iii }}$ | 0.88 | 2.27 | $2.964(2)$ | 135 |
| $\mathrm{~N} 3 C-\mathrm{H} 3 C 1 \cdots \mathrm{O} 1 B^{\text {iii }}$ | 0.88 | 2.15 | $3.025(2)$ | 175 |
| N3 $D-\mathrm{H} 3 D 2 \cdots \mathrm{O} 6 D$ | 0.88 | 2.43 | $3.006(2)$ | 123 |
| N3 $D-\mathrm{H} 3 D 1 \cdots \mathrm{O} 1 C^{\text {iv }}$ | 0.88 | 2.09 | $2.966(2)$ | 175 |
| $\mathrm{~N} 3 D-\mathrm{H} 3 D 2 \cdots \mathrm{O} 6 B^{\text {iv }}$ | 0.88 | 2.26 | $2.979(2)$ | 139 |

Symmetry codes: (i) $x+1, y, z$; (ii) $x, y-1, z$; (iii) $x-1, y, z$; (iv) $x, y+1, z$.
Of the two possible enantiomeric space groups, $P 4_{1}$ or $P 4_{3}$, the latter was used arbitrarily for the final refinement; in the absence of significant anomalous scattering, the correct space group is unknown. Friedel pairs were merged. All H atoms were positioned geometrically and allowed to ride on their parent atom, with $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ bond lengths of $0.95 \AA$ for pyrazole H5 atoms, $0.99 \AA$ for methylene H atoms and $0.98 \AA$ for methyl H atoms, and with $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{H}$ distances of $0.88 \AA . U_{\text {iso }}(\mathrm{H})$ values were set equal to $1.5 U_{\text {eq }}(\mathrm{C})$ for methyl groups and $1.2 U_{\text {eq }}(\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{N})$ for the remaining atoms.

Data collection: CrysAlis CCD (Oxford Diffraction, 2001); cell refinement: CrysAlis CCD; data reduction: CrysAlis RED (Oxford Diffraction, 2001); program(s) used to solve structure: SHELXS97 (Sheldrick, 1997); program(s) used to refine structure: SHELXL97


Figure 4
The crystal packing of (I), viewed down the $c$ axis. Molecules (I $A$ ) are red, (IB) purple, (IC) green and (ID) blue. H atoms have been omitted.
(Sheldrick, 1997); molecular graphics: SHELXTL/PC (Sheldrick, 1990); software used to prepare material for publication: SHELXL97 and enCIFer (Allen et al., 2004).
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